## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE AGUILAR MARTINEZ,	) <b>CV F 05-0627 OWW WMW HC</b>
Petitioner, v.  JOHN ASHCROFT,  Respondent.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  [Doc. 10]

Petitioner, a federal prisoner, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On November 30, 2005, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein. These findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. No objections were filed.<sup>1</sup>

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)C) this court has conducted a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The court notes that the copy of the findings and recommendations served on Petitioner was returned as undeliverable. However, the copy was served on Petitioner at his address of record and was therefore fully effective. Local Rule 83-182.

1	de novo review of this case. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454
2	(9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and
3	recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.
4	Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	1. The findings and recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on
6	November 30, 2005, are a adopted in full;
7	2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed for failure to prosecute;
8	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment for Respondent and to close this
9	case.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated: March 15, 2006 emm0d6 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	CHILD STATES DISTRICT JODGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	